

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID CHARLES THATCHER,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-2547 MCE CMK P

vs.

M. PENNER, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_/

Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel will therefore be denied.


////

////

////

1                   Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's January 3, 2006 motion  
2 for the appointment of counsel is denied.

3  
4 DATED: January 12, 2006.

5  
6   
7 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
  
/mp  
that 04cv2547.31